



Sh Mohinder Singh,  
T-2/171, Jugial Colony,  
Shahpurkandi Township,  
Tehsil & Distt Pathankot.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Pathankot.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Pathankot.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1781 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Mohinder Singh as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 18.11.2020 has sought information regarding the procedure to certify a person handicapped (5% to 100%) in case of fingers, hands and arms are cut, as well other information as enumerated in the RTI application. The information has been sought from the office of the Civil Surgeon, Pathankot. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the first appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 09.03.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2<sup>nd</sup> appeal in the commission on 06.04.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Pathankot. The respondent is absent and vide letter received in the Commission on 03.09.2021 has informed that the information has been supplied to the appellant vide letter dated 09.03.2021 and 23.03.2021.

As per the appellant, what has been provided are revised rules for certification whereas he should have been provided with the guidelines. The appellant further informed that the information that has been provided is illegible.

The PIO is directed to send the guidelines pertaining to issuing handicap certificates in this particular case. The provided information should be legible and certified. The information be provided within 15 days of the receipt of the order and an intimation be sent to the Commission.

With the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the Commission makes it clear that if the information is not supplied as per order, the appellant is free to come to the Commission again.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Kamalpreet Singh,  
# 134, Basant City, Threekay,  
P.O Lalton Kalan, Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SMO,  
Civil Hospital,  
Kharar.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 340 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Complainant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 13.02.2021 has sought information regarding copies of exact name pathology/histopathology or any other tests conducted by each and every lab in the department of pathology/histopathology since 2008-09 in which lab chemicals namely potassium iodide, N-Hexane, carbon Tetra Chloride, Carbon Tetrachloride, Acetonitrile silver sulphate silver nitrate etc. have been consumed along with details of quantity required – a copy of the exact name of Micro-Biology or any other tests conducted by each department in which lab chemicals namely potassium iodide, N-Hexane, carbon Tetra Chloride, Carbon Tetrachloride, Acetonitrile silver sulphate silver nitrate etc have been consumed and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-Civil Hospital Kharar. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 17.03.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. Both the parties are absent.

The complainant vide email has informed that he has received the information and is satisfied and that his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Harvinder Singh, S/o Sh Najar Singh,  
Village Gobindgarh, P.O Jugiana,  
Distt Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Chairman, SC Commission,  
Pb, Civil Secretariat-1, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 334 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Complainant**  
**Ms.Bhawna Sethi, Clerk for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through an RTI application dated 12.12.2020 has sought information regarding action taken on complaint No.32/17 along with a copy of the complaint, enquiry report and statement of witnesses and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Chairman, SC Commission, Pb Chandigarh. The complainant was not satisfied with the reply of PIO dated 16.01.2021 after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 17.03.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the reply was sent to the complainant vide letter dated 06.01.2021. Thereafter, the complainant pointed out discrepancies which were sorted out and a reply was sent to the complainant on 18.02.2021. The respondent has also submitted a copy of the information to the Commission which has been taken on the file of the Commission.

The complainant is absent.

Having gone through the RTI application and the reply of the PIO, the Commission observes that the RTI application has been attended to well within the time prescribed under the RTI Act and suitably replied.

Since this is a complaint case, and the PIO has replied with a suitable time frame, no further course of action is required. A copy of information submitted by the respondent is being sent to the complainant alongwith the order.

The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Jasbir Singh,  
Guru Nanak Nagar, Village Bolapur,  
Jhabewal, P.O Ramgarh,  
Distt Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o District Health Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon, Bathinda.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o District Health Officer,  
O/o Civil Surgeon, Bathinda.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1543 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Navdeep Singh O/o Civil Surgeon Bathinda for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through the RTI application dated 28.11.2020 has sought information regarding details of alcohol samples collected from Jan.2015 onwards – license issued and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of District Health Officer, Civil Surgeon, Bathinda. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 28.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Bathinda. The respondent present pleaded that since the information relates to the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana as the appellant has asked for details of alcohol samples collected and license issued in Ludhiana from Jan 2015 till date, the reply was sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.01.2021.

The appellant is absent without any legitimate reasons for the absence. Nor has the appellant been represented.

Since the information relates to the office of Civil Surgeon Ludhiana, a copy of the RTI application is being sent to the PIO-Civil Surgeon Ludhiana with the direction to treat it as a fresh RTI application and provide the information to the appellant.

No further interference is required in the matter

Given the above order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**

**CC to PIO-Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana.**



Sh Gurbax Singh,  
Village Bholapur, R/o Ramgarh,  
Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o Addl Chief Secretary,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Pb, Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Pr. Secretary,  
Health & Family Welfare,  
Sector-34-A, Chandigarh.

Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1457 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Appellant**  
**Sh.Jagdeep Singh, SA/APIO for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 03.01.2021 has sought information regarding action taken on the complaint dated 25.07.2020 filed by Sukhwinder Singh, President Punjab Health Department Subordinate Office Clerical Association against Dr. Rajesh Bagga, Civil Surgeon Ludhiana for misusing the power – the name of officer handled the complaint and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of Addl. Chief Secretary, Health & Family Welfare, Pb Chandigarh. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 03.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that the complaint has been referred to the Director Health and Family Welfare, Pb vide letter dated 26.08.2020 for getting the enquiry conducted through some senior officer and the reply along with related correspondence/noting has been sent to the appellant vide letter date 27.01.2021 and again on 05.03.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

The appellant is absent.

I have gone through the RTI application and the copy of the information received from the PIO through email and find that the RTI application has been sufficiently replied to.

The appellant is absent to pursue the case.

No further course of action is required.

The case is **disposed of and closed.**

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Nitin Kumar,  
C/o Police Public Dairy,  
15-A, Shastari Nagar, Model Town,  
Ludhiana.

... Appellant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SMO.  
Gurdaspur.

**First Appellate Authority,**  
O/o Civil Surgeon,  
Gurdaspur.

...Respondent

**Appeal Case No. 1738 of 2021**

**PRESENT: Sh.Nitin Kumar Garg as the Appellant**  
**None for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The appellant through RTI application dated 23.12.2020 has sought information regarding statements duly approved for the purchase of medicine during 26.05.2020 to 23.12.2020 – amount received from the public in hospital daily-wise/month-wise – list of all types of medicines purchased and repair – list of all types of funds/grant received in hospital and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO-Civil Hospital Gurdaspur. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 01.02.2021 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana/Gurdaspur.

The respondent is absent.

The appellant is present and informed that he has received the information and his appeal case be closed.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**



Sh Sushil Kumar,  
H No-1410, Phase-1,  
Urban Estate, Dugri Road, Ludhiana.

... Complainant

Versus

**Public Information Officer,**  
O/o SMO, Civil Hospital,  
Ludhiana.

...Respondent

**Complaint Case No. 350 of 2021**

**PRESENT:   None for the Complainant**  
**Dr.Ramandeep Kaur for the Respondent**

**ORDER:**

The complainant through RTI application dated 18.02.2021 has sought information on 08 points regarding a copy of MLR report No. NB/21/2019 dated 31.05.2019 & MLR No.V/S(1) dated 11.04.2020 – a copy of detailed DNA report done in Bolu MLR NB/21/2019 – a copy of chemical examiner report – a copy of the letter sent to the chemical examiner by Dr.Neelam Bhatia to preserve vaginal and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of SMO Civil Surgeon, Ludhiana. The complainant was not provided with the information after which the complainant filed a complaint in the Commission on 31.03.2021.

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Ludhiana. The respondent present pleaded that since partial information falls under section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act it cannot be provided, and the reply has been sent to the complainant vide letter dated 27.08.2021 with a copy to the Commission.

As per the respondent, the information regarding MLR no vs/1/2019 the respondent has asserted that the information is in the custody of Medico -Legal section and the sought information can be obtained from them by depositing a requisite fee.

The complainant is absent, nor is represented. The appellant vide email has communicated that the PIO has not supplied the information.

Given the above the order is in two parts-

Part-1) - Regarding the information that has been denied under section 8(1)(j). Since the appellant has preferred to come to the commission without filing a first appeal, I am remanding this case to the first appellate authority to treat this as an appeal case and dispose it of within the stipulated thirty days.

Part-2) - The PIO has invoked a fee after a delay of six months, hence the information be collected from the Medico Legal Section and be sent free of cost to the appellant.

No further interference is required in the matter. The case is **disposed of**.

**Chandigarh**  
**Dated:13.09.2021**

**Sd/-**  
**(Khushwant Singh)**  
**State Information Commissioner**